

Lessons learned from 16 years usage of mobile computers in clinical trials

Koop, A
Andreas.Koop@medizin.uni-koeln.de
Germany

Abstract: For more than 16 years, mobile computers have been supporting the implementation of clinical trials. A review of 28 articles out of more than 100 clinical trials in which mobile computers have been used elaborates on the advantages and problems of this technology. A comprehensive overview of the various technologies as used in different settings is given, and then the methodology of using mobile devices in comparison to traditional methods is discussed. The considerations that need to be made and things to be avoided in order to conduct a successful clinical trial with mobile tools are listed in detail. This survey leads to the conclusion that mobile devices are very useful in most cases, especially when design and software validation aspects have been taken into account. Furthermore information is given about software validation aspects that are unique to the field of clinical trials.

Key words: software validation, clinical trials, remote data entry, mobile data capture, eCRF

1 Introduction

Technology has been used to support clinical trials for many years. Databases and statistical software packages were the first technological aids to be utilized, followed by computers for onsite data entry. Thereafter, network solutions such as the French Minitel or the German BTX (Bildschirmtext) were employed. In the meantime, the internet and especially the World Wide Web have become increasingly significant in clinical trials [SNF99, KO97] as well as in many other fields of business and science. Interestingly, a similar development can be observed with mobile devices. Nearly every mobile technology capable of data capture or data entry has and will be employed in clinical trials. A wide range of devices has been used, from the early programmable calculators and organizers [SAB85, TE89] to sophisticated pen-based devices with wireless data transmission capabilities.

2 Methods

A review of 28 articles out of more than 100 clinical trials in which mobile computers have been used elaborates on the advantages and problems of this technology. Only those articles have been included into the review, which gave a minimum of technical information about the mobile tools and methodology.

3 Results

Strikingly, research groups with profound experience in mobile computing in clinical trials reuse their hardware and software often; thus, sometimes several studies were carried out with the same technology. In such cases, generally the newer articles are listed since they usually contained the group's experience and referenced their previous work. There are two classes of articles: The first describes comparative articles in which previous ways of performing a clinical trial are weighed against new approaches using mobile computers, thus verifying the new methodology. The second set of articles describes the experience gained in clinical trials utilizing mobile technology. Several important aspects of the technology and methodology used are listed in Tables 1 and 2.

4 Discussion

Balas et al. [BAM96] emphasize the importance of time in the form of reminders or time-stamped data when using computers and the setting in which the computers are used. The superiority to not being reminded and to manual reminders has been shown in [SDB96] and [CA00] for desktop computers, which corresponds with our findings using mobile computers. Time series analysis and trend recognition have been used as well [LLC95, RWC01]. Handheld computers can greatly enhance the ease and quality of time-verified data capture [SHJ00], continuous quality improvement activities [HAR00], and adaptive therapies [SAB85].

The quality of data is improved in comparison to paper because the data are more complete [RWC01, BS00, HKA93], have fewer errors [KB96, LSD00], are more consistent [BBR93, Gup96], and there are fewer protocol violations [TE89]. Data editing, retyping, proofreading, and the process of clarification can be eliminated [BBR93, BS00, Gup96, TJC00]; irrelevant questions can be omitted [Gup96, MAB99].

Compliance is another important aspect. Time-stamped data contribute to improved compliance control [KB96] or allow for the assessment of compliance [BWC00, CLM92, HKA93, JRL01]. Results suggest that use of electronic data entry shows promise for improving compliance with long-term data collection [JRL01]. Even the least restrictive approach is a great improvement over paper, where there is no information at all concerning entry times, nor any control of them [TJC00].

Looking at the whole study process, an increase in efficiency [TE89, BS00] is found in most of the studies through easy storage and downloading of the data [MAB99] in combination with fast and easy data processing [KB96, TJC00]. The sponsor can perform analyses while the study is in progress. This facilitates the use of adaptive study designs. The devices and systems can be reused in several studies, where a single unit can be used repeatedly by different patients and investigators [MAB99], and other applications can be used simultaneously, e.g. food diaries and psychometric data collectors [SHJ00]. Thus, if the initial program is written in such a way that the questions asked can be changed in content and number to suit the study, it can result in greater adaptability and versatility of the tool; these features make it an ideal data capture tool for use in natural environments, the laboratory, and clinical settings [SHJ00].

Besides the above mentioned advantages of the use of mobile computers in clinical trials and in the clinical setting, a few disadvantages must be noted: Study centers and sponsors have to deal with technology (hardware, software and data exchange) aspects [LW98]. Sometimes there are large investment costs, but over a period of time the advantages outweigh the high initial costs (investments were: handheld computers, their peripherals, converting the questionnaire into computer programs) [Gup96]. Data must be downloaded daily (or at least sometimes) for backup issues [SHJ00]. A few patients found the screen difficult to see [TJC00]. Finally, additional effort is necessary to ensure electronic signatures and certified copies of electronic documents [FDA99].

A comprehensive overview from the sponsor's and investigator's perspective regarding comparisons of different data capturing and transmission techniques (e.g. pen and paper, handheld computers, fax, optical mark readers, voice, remote data entry, etc.) can be found in [LW98]. If a decision is made in favor of handheld devices, the following tips can be useful:

Two-way messaging available through the palmtop computer seemed to encourage continued use of the device. Patients stated that they felt that someone was closely monitoring their progress and they reported feeling as if someone cared about the information they were reporting [JRL01, TMK01]. Electronic diaries made the patients feel involved; written diaries were tedious [RMB96]. The use of other electric devices can be monitored [LLC95]. After protecting the device and the software so that no other implemented functions in the Psion 3a (here) could be used, the use of the handheld computers gene-

rally posed no problems [RHH99]. It is well recognized by medical staff that additional functionality such as the connection to the hospital information system, e.g. over a wireless connection, or lists of drug contraindications and interactions is advantageous. On the other hand, the additional functionality should not be confusing. If patients are to be the users, it could be helpful to encourage them to use the handheld computers for managing their calendars or address books, still the attractive applications of the devices. This could motivate them to accept the devices for daily use. That is only feasible, however, for studies running on the patient's private hardware or if the devices are dispensed to the patients for a long period of time, as with studies regarding chronic diseases where time series analysis is important [KGM00]. Another important aspect is ensuring that the ability and willingness to use an electronic diary is part of the inclusion criteria of the study – especially where the diaries are the main source of data [TJC00]. It is important to identify the team member(s) who assume(s) primary responsibility for survey administration [HAR00]. Members of nursing and medical staff should contribute to the development of the survey instrument to ensure that the necessary components are included [HAR00]. Use of a previously validated (pen and paper) survey instrument [HAR00] is recommended as a model for an electronic version. While desktop PCs interfere with the doctor/investigator-patient relationship, eCRFs on flat handheld computers, like paper, do not [TE89, BBR93]. Patient's and investigator's acceptance of mobile devices are widely documented [TE89, LLC95, BBR93, BWC00, Gup96, KMM01, MC00, TJC00, TSS95]. When compared to previous paper and pencil methods, those of mobile devices have been proven to be equal or superior [SAB85, LLC95, SHJ00, BS00, CLM92, HKA93, JRL01, KB96, LSD00, MAB99, RMB96, RHH99, SFB97, TMK01]. Finally, the most important points are brevity and simplicity of the user interface and input from those who rely on the collected data [HAR00].

Surely, the above mentioned advantages do not come without a price. Even as a study can have badly designed questionnaires or CRFs, there is no guarantee that there are well designed user interfaces nor that the software or hardware is free of errors and foolproof. This leads to the necessary reflection on software validation and standards. Interestingly, only two [TE89, RWC01] of the above listed articles mentioned their software tests and validations. In the mid 90's there was a discussion whether devices used in clinical trials should be treated as medical devices or not. This discussion led to recommendations for responsible monitoring and regulation of clinical software systems [MG97], influencing the point of view the FDA (Food and Drug Administration) later took. Their document entitled "Computerized systems used in clinical trials" [FDA99] gives a good insight into the considerations that need to be made and the processes that must be implemented in order to set up and use computerized systems. Biebel [Bie00] stresses that risk management and software validation may appear to be a hindrance to product development, but they are in fact tools that support the development process. Used in the correct manner, they can even help speed up the process of producing better and safer software. For example, the V model can be used as a type of development life cycle. More about such models and software testing approaches can be found in [SC94, Kit96]. Should the new electronic system be audited, Stinchcomb [Sti98] describes in detail, e.g. using lists of questions, the necessary steps for carrying out such an audit.

While the worst case of a hardware or software failure is death where medical devices have been applied directly to the patients, as described in the case of Therac-25 [Neu95], a radiation therapy machine, this is different in clinical trials. For mobile devices used for data capture, biased data or data loss are the worst cases. These inconveniences can definitely be avoided or minimized using the procedures mentioned previously.

5 Conclusions

The many years of experience using mobile computers in clinical trials result in a few very important advantages besides the others listed above: *time*, *compliance*, *quality*, and *control*. Time stamping of data and the use of reminders enhances the quality of the rendered data significantly. Several studies, e.g. [SFB97, SS95], showed that one can not rely on the patient's memory and self-assessment, especially when real-time data gathering is essential. The better the data exchange between examination centers, patients and the study headquarters, the better the control of the study and the faster the response to aberrations and adverse events. From 8 KByte data packs (memory cards) used in 1988 [TE89] which were sent by regular mail to the sponsor and back, to collecting or sending floppy discs or CDs, to the use of computer networks, internet and wireless networks, the purpose of communication is more than just transferring the data to the statistician. If the patients have the impression that someone cares about them, as with the two-way messaging in [JRL01, TMK01], their compliance will be better as well. Communication technology is mature and stable and can be used even from the most remote areas of the world [OP97], and it is well known that mobile computers are capable of collecting data from patients in their natural environments [SS94]. Last but not least, the study is most likely to be carried out faster and better than with traditional methods.

Patients and medical staff usually have no problems using the devices if the program developers are familiar with basic software and user interface design issues such as minimizing free text entry or knowing how to manage text entry [WBR00] and the system is explained well to the end user. It is important to undertake the final test and validation with real patients in the study environment, putting the program designer into the clinical setting. This can lead to improvements of the clinical trial software and in turn enhance the data quality of the study.

Bibliography

- [BAM96] EA Balas, SM Austin, JA Mitchell, et al. The clinical value of computerized information services. A review of 98 randomized clinical trials. *Arch Fam Med*, 5(5):271–278, 1996.
- [BBR93] I Beinlich, C Bokemeyer, U R ath, et al. Pen-Based Remote Data Entry System. A pilot clinical trial. *Drug Res*, 43(3):399–404, 1993.

- [Bie00] J Biebel. Software validation for medical devices and in vitro diagnostics. *Med Device Technol*, 11(2):18–21, 2000.
- [BS00] CL Berthelsen and KR Stilley. Automated Personal Health Inventory for Dentistry: A Pilot Study. *J Am Dent Assoc*, (131):59–66, 2000.
- [BWC00] J Bousquet, FR Wouters, P van Cauwenberge, V Lund, and T El Akkad. Electronic patient diary is well accepted by patients and investigators in recording daily data in seasonal allergic rhinitis trials. *Allergy*, 55(Suppl. 63):194–195, 2000.
- [CA00] DS Cannon and SN Allen. A comparison of the effects of computer and manual reminders on compliance with a mental health clinical practice guideline. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*, 7(2):196–203, 2000.
- [CCG99] WL Clarke, DJ Cox, LA Gonder-Frederick, and B Kovatchev. Hypoglycemia and the decision to drive a motor vehicle by persons with diabetes. *JAMA*, 282(8):750–754, 1999.
- [CLM92] PJ Chowienzyk, CP Lawson, J Morris, A Kermani, and GM Cochrane. Electronic diary to record physiological measurements. *Lancet*, 339(8787):251, 1992.
- [FDA99] U.S. Department of Health FDA, Food Human Services, and Drug Administration. http://www.fda.gov/ora/compliance_ref/bimo/ffinalcct.htm or .pdf, April 1999.
- [Gup96] PC Gupta. Survey of sociodemographic characteristics of tobacco use among 99,598 individuals in Bombay, India using handheld computers. *Tob Control*, 5(2):114–120, 1996.
- [GWS00] CG Greeno, RR Wing, and S Shiffman. Binge Antecedents in Obese Women With and Without Binge Eating Disorder. *J Consult Clin Psychol*, 68(1):95–102, 2000.
- [HAR00] MT Holdsworth, VR Adams, DW Raisch, JG Wood, and SS Winter. Computerized system for outcomes-based antiemetic therapy in children. *Ann Pharmacother*, 34(10):1101–1108, 2000.
- [HBM96] ND Harris, SB Baykouchev, JL Marques, et al. A portable system for monitoring physiological responses to hypoglycaemia. *J Med Eng Technol*, 20(6):196–202, 1996.
- [HKA93] ME Hyland, CAP Kenyon, R Allen, and P Howarth. Diary keeping in asthma: comparison of written and electronic methods. *BMJ*, (306):487–489, 1993.
- [JRL01] RN Jamison, SA Raymond, JG Levine, et al. Electronic diaries for monitoring chronic pain: 1-year validation study. *Pain*, 91(3):277–285, 2001.
- [KB96] J Kos and K Battig. Comparison of an electronic food diary with a nonquantitative food frequency questionnaire in male and female smokers and nonsmokers. *J Am Diet Assoc*, 96(3):283–285, 1996.
- [KGM00] A Koop, C Gatermann, and R Mösges. Benefit of handheld computers and WWW-technologies in clinical multicenter trials and the care of patients with chronic diseases (German). *Zentralbl Gynakol*, (3):183–186, 2000.
- [Kit96] E Kit. *Software Testing in the Real World*. Addison-Wesley, New York, 1996.
- [KMM01] A Koop, R Matesic, and R Mösges. *Mobiles Computing in der Medizin*, chapter Erfahrungen beim Einsatz von Palm-PDAs in einer klinischen Studie, pages 45–59. Shaker Verlag, Aachen, 2001.

- [KO97] MA Kelly and J Oldham. The internet and randomised controlled trials. *Int J Med Inf*, 47(1-2):91–99, 1997.
- [LLC95] B Lewis, D Lewis, and G Cumming. Frequent measurement of chronic pain: an electronic diary and empirical findings. *Pain*, 60(3):341–347, 1995.
- [LSD00] SO Lal, FW Smith, JP Davis, et al. Palm Computer demonstrates a fast and accurate means of burn data collection demonstrates a fast and accurate means of burn data collection. *J Burn Care Rehabil*, 21(6):559–561, 2000.
- [LW98] AJ Lampe and JM Weiler. Data capture from the sponsors’ and investigators’ perspectives: balancing quality, speed, and cost. *Drug Information Journal*, 32(4):871–886, 1998.
- [MAB99] JS McBride, RT Anderson, and JL Bahnon. Using a Hand-Held Computer to Collect Data in an Orthopedic Outpatient Clinic. *Med Care*, 37(7):647–651, 1999.
- [MC00] ML McLaws and M Caelli. Pilot testing standardized surveillance: Hospital Infection Standardised Surveillance (HISS). *Am J Infect Control*, 28(6):401–405, 2000.
- [MG97] RA Miller and RM Gardner. Recommendations for responsible monitoring and regulation of clinical software systems. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*, 4(6):442–457, 1997.
- [Neu95] PG Neumann. *Computer Related Risks*. Addison-Wesley, New York, 1995.
- [OP97] C Otto and A Pipe. Remote, mobile telemedicine: the satellite transmission of medical data from Mount Logan. *J Telemed Telecare*, (3 Suppl. 1):84–85, 1997.
- [RHH99] M Rose, V Hess, M Horhold, E Braehler, and BF Klapp. Mobile computer-assisted psychometric diagnostics – economic advantages and results of test stability (German). *Psychother Psychosom Med Psychol*, 49(6):202–207, 1999.
- [RMB96] JM Rabin, J McNett, and GH Badlani. Compu-Void II’: the computerized voiding diary. *J Med Syst*, 20(1):19–34, 1996.
- [RWC01] JC Reilly, M Wallace, and MM Campbell. Tracking pharmacists interventions with a hand-held computer. *Am J Health Syst Pharm*, 58(2):158–161, 2001.
- [SAB85] J Schrezenmeir, H Achterberg, J Bergeler, et al. Controlled study on the use of hand-held insulin dosage computers enabling conversion to and optimizing of meal-related insulin therapy regimens. *Life Support Syst*, (3 Suppl 1):561–567, 1985.
- [SC94] J Sanders and E Curran. *Software Quality*. Addison-Wesley, New York, 1994.
- [SDB96] S Shea, W Du Mouchel, and L Bahamonde. A meta-analysis of 16 randomized controlled trials to evaluate computer-based clinical reminder systems for preventive care in the ambulatory setting. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*, 3(6):399–409, 1996.
- [SFB97] RJ Straka, JT Fish, SR Benson, and JT Suh. Patient self-reporting of compliance does not correspond with electronic monitoring: an evaluation using isosorbide dinitrate as a model drug. *Pharmacotherapy*, 17(1):126–132, 1997.
- [SHJ00] RJ Stubbs, DA Hughes, AM Johnstone, et al. The use of visual analogue scales to assess motivation to eat in human subjects: a review of their reliability and validity with an evaluation of new hand-held computerized systems for temporal tracking of appetite ratings. *Br J Nutr*, 84(4):405–415, 2000.
- [SNF99] E Santoro, E Nicolis, MG Franzosi, and G Togoni. Internet for clinical trials: past, present, and future. *Control Clin Trials*, 20(2):194–201, 1999.

- [SS94] AA Stone and S Shiffman. Ecological momentary assessment (EMA) in behavioral medicine. *Ann Behav Med*, (16):199–202, 1994.
- [SS95] WB Smith and MA Safer. Effects of present pain level on recall of chronic pain and medication use. *Pain*, (55):355–361, 1995.
- [Sti98] WB Stinchcomb. Auditing new electronic systems. *Drug Information Journal*, 32(4):1073–1080, 1998.
- [TE89] AB Tattersall and R Ellis. The use of a hand-held computer to record clinical trial data in general practice: a pilot study. *J Int Med Res*, 17(2):185–189, 1989.
- [TJC00] B Tiplady, AH Jamieson, and GK Crompton. Use of pen-based electronic diaries in an international clinical trial of asthma. *Drug Information Journal*, 34(1):129–136, 2000.
- [TMK01] MW Tsang, M Mok, G Kam, et al. Improvement in diabetes control with a monitoring system based on a hand-held, touch-screen electronic diary. *J Telemed Telecare*, 7(1):47–50, 2001.
- [TSS95] P Totterdell, E Spelten, L Smith, J Barton, and S Folkard. Recovery from work shifts: how long does it take? *J Appl Psychol*, 80(1):43–57, 1995.
- [GSJ96] JM van Gerven, RC Schoemaker, LD Jacobs, et al. Self-medication of a single headache episode with ketoprofen, ibuprofen or placebo, home-monitored with an electronic patient diary. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*, 42(4):475–481, 1996.
- [WBR00] P Wright, C Bartram, N Rogers, et al. Text entry on handheld computers by older users. *Ergonomics*, 43(6):702–716, 2000.

| Technology | Carried out/Publ. | Citation | Used Features | Main User | Site | Type | Field | Purpose |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---|
| Go Corporation Prototype with PenPoint-System | 1991/1993 | Beinlich [BBR ⁺ 93] | pen-based | physicians as investigators | 3 university clinics | experience | oncology | eCRF data entry, patients' and investigators' acceptance |
| Epson EH-400 with MS Windows for Pen | ≤1999/2000 | Berthelsen [BS00] | pen-based, internal timer | patients | university clinic | comparison (cross-over) | dentistry | patients' acceptance, data quality |
| MiniDoc electronic patient diary | ≤2000/2000 | Bousquet [BWV ⁺ 00] | key-based, internal timer | patients | 328 centers in 3 countries, home/daily life | experience | allergy | comparison of 2 strategies to treat seasonal allergic rhinitis, patients' and investigators' acceptance |
| In-house developed electronic diary card | ≤1991/1992 | Chowienzyk [CLM ⁺ 92] | key-based, reminder, internal timer, transducer | patients | hospital | comparison | asthma | check of reliability of a conventional paper-based asthma diary card |
| Pision organizer II (model 250) | ≤1999/1999 | Clarke [CCGK99] | key-based, internal timer | patients | home/daily life | experience | diabetes | study of decisions to drive cars at various blood glucose levels |
| Pision LZ32 | ≤1997/2000 | Greeno [GWS00] | key-based, internal timer, reminder | patients | home/daily life | experience | nutrition/psychology | study of antecedents to binge eating |
| Programmable handheld computer, no information about type | 1992-1994 / 1996 | Gupta [Gup96] | key based | trained investigators | house-to-house survey | experience | tobacco survey | study of diversity and sociodemographic characteristics of tobacco use in Bombay, India |
| Atari portfolio | ≤1996/1996 | Harris [HBM ⁺ 96] | key-based, internal timer, connection to physiological measurements | physicians, patients | hospital | experience | diabetes | investigation of the physiological responses to hypoglycaemia |
| PalmPilot | 1998-1999/2000 | Holdsworth [HAR ⁺ 00] | pen-based | physicians and students as investigators | university clinic | comparison (cross-over), experience | oncology, pediatrics | computerized data collection system used for outcomes-based approach to antiemetic therapy in children |

continued on next page

continued from previous page

| Technology | Carried out/Publ. | Citation | Used Features | Main User | Site | Type | Field | Purpose |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Psion organizers | ≤1992/1993 | Hyland [HKAH93] | key-based, internal timer | patients | outpatient clinic | comparison | asthma | Are symptom diaries of asthmatic patients inaccurate or based on retrospective recall? |
| Apple Newton Message Pad 100 | ≤2000/2001 | Jamison [JRL ⁺ 01] | pen-based, internal timer, telephone data transmission to study computer | patients | home/daily life | comparison | pain | reliability and validity of electronic diary data, patient compliance, comparison to paper and phone interviews |
| PalmPilot, Palm III, Palm IIIe, Palm m100 | 2001/2001 | Koop [KMM01] | pen-based | patients | resident doctor | experience | allergy | placebo vs. drug, double-blind clinical trial |
| Programmable handheld computer, no information about type, likely Psion Palm IIIx | ≤1995/1996 | Kos [KB96] | key-based | patients | home/daily life | comparison | smoking and dietary intake | reliability of electronic food diary |
| PIPERS (Prompting Intensity of Pain Electronic Recorder; in-house development) | ≤1994/1995 | Lal [LSD ⁺ 00] | pen-based | students as investigators | university clinic | comparison (cross-over) | burn data collection | time for data collection and error rates against paper and later entry |
| MiniDoc: electronic patient diary | ≤1998/1999 | Lewis [LLC95] | key-based, internal timer, reminder, connection to TENS-unit | patients | university clinic and home/daily life | comparison, experience | pain | reliability and validity of PIPERS pain ratings, comparison with paper visual analog scale, patients' acceptance |
| Palm III | 1998-1999/2000 | McBride [MAB99] | key-based, internal timer | patients | university clinic | comparison | hospital quality | Are handheld computer data collections introducing bias or increasing respondent difficulty? |
| Compu-Void, in-house development | 1990-1995/1996 | McLaws [MCO0] | pen-based | infection control professionals (ICPs) | 9 hospitals | experience | survey | test of a hospital infection standardized surveillance, including electronic data capture |
| Palm IIIx | 1999-2000/2001 | Rabin [RMB96] | key-based, internal timer | patients | hospital | comparison (cross-over) | urology | patient preference and compliance, data quality |
| Psion 3a | 1995-1996/1999 | Reilly [RWC01] | pen-based, internal timer | pharmacists | hospital | experience | pharmacy | monitoring pharmacists' interventions |
| | | Rose [RHH ⁺ 99] | key-based | patients | university clinic | comparison | psychosomatics | to test substitutability of paper-based instruments, time for data collection, data quality |

continued on next page

| Technology | Carried out/Publ. | Citation | Used Features | Main User | Site | Type | Field | Purpose |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---|
| Go Corporation Prototype with PenPoint-System | 1991/1993 | Beinlich [BBR ⁺ 93] | pen-based | physicians as investigators | 3 university clinics | experience | oncology | eCRF data entry, patients' and investigators' acceptance |
| Epson EH-400 with MS Windows for Pen | ≤1999/2000 | Berthelsen [BS00] | pen-based, internal timer | patients | university clinic | comparison (cross-over) | dentistry | patients' acceptance, data quality |
| MiniDoc electronic patient diary | ≤2000/2000 | Bousquet [BWV ⁺ 00] | key-based, internal timer | patients | 328 centers in 3 countries, home/daily life | experience | allergy | comparison of 2 strategies to treat seasonal allergic rhinitis, patients' and investigators' acceptance |
| In-house developed electronic diary card | ≤1991/1992 | Chowienzyk [CLM ⁺ 92] | key-based, reminder, internal timer, transducer | patients | hospital | comparison | asthma | check of reliability of a conventional paper-based asthma diary card |
| Pision organizer II (model 250) | ≤1999/1999 | Clarke [CCGK99] | key-based, internal timer | patients | home/daily life | experience | diabetes | study of decisions to drive cars at various blood glucose levels |
| Psion LZ32 | ≤1997/2000 | Greeno [GWS00] | key-based, internal timer, reminder | patients | home/daily life | experience | nutrition/psychology | study of antecedents to binge eating |
| Programmable handheld computer, no information about type | 1992-1994 / 1996 | Gupta [Gup96] | key based | trained investigators | house-to-house survey | experience | tobacco survey | study of diversity and sociodemographic characteristics of tobacco use in Bombay, India |
| Atari portfolio | ≤1996/1996 | Harris [HBM ⁺ 96] | key-based, internal timer, connection to physiological measurements | physicians, patients | hospital | experience | diabetes | investigation of the physiological responses to hypoglycemia |
| PalmPilot | 1998-1999/2000 | Holdsworth [HAR ⁺ 00] | pen-based | physicians and students as investigators | university clinic | comparison (cross-over), experience | oncology, pediatrics | computerized data collection system used for outcomes-based approach to antiemetic therapy in children |

continued on next page

| <i>Citation</i> | <i>System details</i> (size, length, width, depth, weight) | <i>Software details</i> | <i>Training</i> | <i>Quantity of data</i> | <i>Results</i> | <i>Problems</i> |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| Beinlich [BBR ⁺ 93] | 275 × 212 mm, pen only, handwriting recognition | eCRFs "identical" to paper CRFs | study monitors: 1 day; investigators: 1 day; user handwriting training procedure: 2 h | 20 patients, 798 data points per patient | avoid long text entries, capture free comments in handwritten form without transcription, almost no problems for interviewed patients, investigators needed more time for eCRFs than for CRFs, but time savings occur later | hardware slow, screen contrast poor |
| Berthelsen [BS00] | 210 × 226 × 25 mm, 1 kg, pen only | additional help through explanations, timer used to get duration of use, written in C++ | short patient training period | 50 patients, 78-item questionnaire | 73% preferred computer over paper in future use, help feature used only once | 5% inconsistent answers between paper and computer |
| Bousquet [BWV ⁺ 00] | 140 × 178 × 26-38 mm, 522g, 4 keys only | data items are time-stamped | no information | 328 centers enrolled, 258 centers recruited, 469 patients, > 330 data points per patient | patients: acceptance high, investigators preference: 60% computer diary, 14% paper diary, 26% no preference | no information |
| Chowienzyk [CLM ⁺ 92] | 4 keys only | data items are time-stamped, reminder alarms | no information | 33 patients, 21 data points per patient | 25% false entries on paper diary cards discovered | data loss in 3 cases |
| Clarke [CCGK99] | about the size of a handheld tape recorder or dictaphone | internal timer used to check a 45 s - period constraint | training given, but duration unknown | 158 patients, 1000 data points per patient | only medical results published | no information |
| Greeno [GWS00] | 142 × 78 × 29 mm, 250 g, keyboard with 36 keys | data items are time-stamped, reminder alarms | 60-90 min individual instruction, 2-3 days practice period, 30-45 min practice follow-up | 79 patients, 4689 data points altogether | only medical results published | no information |

continued on next page

continued from previous page

| Citation | System details (size, length, width, depth, weight) | Software details | Training | Quantity of data | Results | Problems |
|----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Gupta [Gup96] | size: no information, 290 g | no information | training for investigators given, but duration unknown | 99598 people interviewed | using handheld computers to collect data in the field was successful | no problems even with high temp. and high humidity |
| Harris [HBM ⁺ 96] | 103 × 197 × 29 mm, 495 g, box for transducer: 190 × 100 mm | data items are time-stamped, written in C | no information | 6 months, mostly for overnight measurements, data sampling: 2 min every 10 min. | system was robust and reliable, system can be used to monitor changes in heart rate, blood flow, skin temperature and sweating | movement artifacts had to be filtered out |
| Holdsworth [HAR ⁺ 00] | 120 × 81 × 18 mm, 162 g | Pendragon forms; incomplete surveys kept as reminders; check-boxes preferred | Clerkship students as investigators; 2 days | in routine use; for time and motion study; 10 trained investigators; data of 20 patients per investigator | total of 48 % time savings getting data into the database, use of handheld computers became standard procedure and was well accepted by patients and their families | no information |
| Hyland [HKAH93] | no information because types of Pstion organizers used were not given | data items are time-stamped | training for patients given, but duration unknown | 24 patients; 84 data points per patient (14 days, 3 peak expiry flows, twice per day) | quality of diary completion is often poor; electronic, time-coded diaries could provide a better method for ensuring the quality of diary records | no information |
| Jamison [JRL ⁺ 01] | 184 × 114 × 27 mm, 400g | support of two-way messaging; download of data (time-stamped) and patient notes, sending messages back to patients | patients: 1 h, telephone support available | Total of 36 patients; 20 patients kept electronic diaries for one year, 616 data points per day | data from electronic diaries are both reliable and valid; patients using electronic diaries preferred them to paper diaries and showed much higher rates of compliance and satisfaction | malfunction of 2 units leads to replacement; loss of 7 days of data; one unit damaged but no data lost |
| Koop [KMM01] | 120 × 81 × 18 mm, 170 g | Waba 1.0; use of internal datebook, data items are time-stamped | patients: 5-10 min. study nurse: 2 days training | 12 patients; on 4 days data entry for 4.5 hours every 15 min, 5 data points each time | increase of data quality compared to similar studies; substantial time-savings to closure of the database; high patient acceptance | program crashed; six times out of 903 data entries; not data lost |
| Kos [KB96] | 142 × 78 × 29 mm, 250 g | 180 food items organized as two-level menu | training for patients given, but duration unknown | 82 patients; 4 days; 6 measurements per day | handheld computer well accepted by patients; good reliability | no information |
| Lal [LSD ⁺ 00] | 120 × 81 × 18 mm, 170 g | Pendragon forms; forms identical to paper forms | no information | 3 students as investigators; 110 medical charts; 18 variables | Palm method is faster (23%) and more accurate (58% fewer errors) than manual method | no information |

continued on next page

continued from previous page

| Citation | System details (size, length, width, depth, weight) | Software details | Training | Quantity of data | Results | Problems |
|-------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| Lewis [LLC95] | 60 × 110 × 30 mm, 160 g, 7 keys only | data items are time- stamped | short patient trai- ning period | study 1: 40 patients, 7 data items; study 2: 36 patients, 4 times daily for 2 months 349 patients; 31 ques- tions per patient | good validity and reliability shown, electronic diary was well accepted even by elderly patients electronic diary method is highly acceptable and produces results comparable to paper forms | some data mis- sing because of human or equip- ment failure evidence of lower internal consi- stency reliability with electronic diary, likely a design problem initial difficulties in adapting to the new technology |
| McBride [MAB99] | 140 × 178 × 26-38 mm, 522g, 4 keys only | data items are time- stamped | no information | | | |
| McLaws [MC00] | 120 × 81 × 18 mm, 170 g | drop-down list for selection of all varia- bles except dates and duration | methodology and technology trai- ning for infection control profession- als: two 1-day workshops | 9 infection control pro- fessionals; 1 year; > 20000 data points alto- gether | 7 of 9 sites consider electronic hand- held data capture a useful tool; near 100% completion of necessary data; useful for large data sets | |
| Rabin [RMB96] | size: no info; LCD- display: 21 lines, 32 characters, keys: 7 + 10 digits 120 × 81 × 18 mm, 170 g | data items are time- stamped | carefully instructi- on of all patients; written instructi- ons for reference | 72 patients; 2 weeks; 10 data points per day | very high preference for electronic diary; more events reported using the computer than the paper diary | electronic diary is bulky |
| Reilly [RWC01] | 120 × 81 × 18 mm, 170 g | Pendragon forms; data items are time- stamped | one-on-one trai- ning to the staff, 1 h each; developing pharmacist availa- ble for support | 12 data items for each intervention; test: July 1999 to April 2000, since then in routine use | staff pharmacists were enabled to gain ongoing data which was too time-consuming before | in the beginning staff pharmacists expressed dis- comfort with the new technology use of computer is limited for patients with severe visual disorders |
| Rose [RHH ⁺ 99] | 88 × 165 × 23 mm, 280 g | ComBas 1.3, inhouse development | questions used are self-explanatory; technical training; no information | 1400 patients; paper: (1/1989-12/ 1994) 354202 data items; com- puter: (1/1995-12/ 1996) 420723; since then in routine use | no problems even with elderly pati- ents; time reduced by 2/3 spent on documentation; 50% cost savings; no differences seen in stability coeffi- cients and data distribution | |

continued on next page

continued from previous page

| Citation | System details (size, length, width, depth, weight) | Software details | Training | Quantity of data | Results | Problems |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Schrezenmeir [SAB+85] | 86 × 195 × 25 mm, 375 g | data items are time- stamped; additional security-related pro- grams | no information | 3 months; 12 patients; data input ≥4 times per day | computer-assisted meal-related insu- lin therapy seems to be superior to conventional therapy; well accepted by patients | no information |
| Straka [SFBS97] | looks like ordinary amber pill bottles, but with slightly larger caps | data items are time- stamped | short instructions were given | 55 patients took medica- tion 3 times per day for 21 days | patient-kept diaries statistically over- estimate actual compliance; MEMS is more objective than patient diaries | the system cannot guarantee that medication was swallowed |
| Stubbs [SHJ+00] | 203 × 101 × 29 mm, 480 g, size of Psion organizer; no information because type not given | data items are time- stamped, reminder alarms | no information | several studies; one with 20 patients over 2 days; data given hourly between 8:00 a.m. and 11:00 p.m. | paper-based VAS (pbVAS) and elec- tronic VAS (eVAS) should not be used interchangeably in the same stu- dy; eVAS are reproducible relative to pbVAS but seems to have limited use regarding the scale ends; preferences (eVAS, pbVAS, no pref. in %): Study 1: 57, 13, 30; Study 2: 38, 54, 8; Study 3: no differences | no information |
| Tattersall [TES9] | 140 × 80 × 30 mm, 250 g, keyboard with 36 keys, 8 KByte data packs for postal transfer | to advance to next question, each que- sion has to be ans- wered first; built-in programming lan- guage OPL | 1 h briefing session on the compu- ter and program; written guidelines given | 8 general practitioners treated 15 patients; 3 visits per patient in one month | physicians stated that the use of the organizer had no effect on the consultation; text entry was not used, so sometimes it was necessary to key in codes from paper lists, preference: 5 computer (if lists are included), 1 paper, 2 no pref.; bigger display desired; thus: using handheld computers is possible and reliable | present coding of symptoms was found to be a major drawback; several minor problems detec- ted |

continued on next page

continued from previous page

| Citation | System details (size, length, width, depth, weight) | Software details | Training | Quantity of data | Results | Problems |
|------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Tipkady [TJC00] | MP110: 198 × 105 × 30mm, 580 g MP 2000; 210 × 119 × 28 mm, 635 g | data items are time- stamped; no retro- spective entry | inclusion criteria used data recorded in the diaries du- ring the two-week run-in period | 3 countries; 135 patients; two months; each patient visited the study centers 4 times | data handling was substantially faster than in similar paper-based studies; data collection was very satisfactory (86% patients had completed diaries); 17 of 19 investigators would use the electronic diaries again | two devices failed (out of 150) and were replaced; infrared beaming for data transfer was problemat- ic, replaced by PCMCIA storage |
| Totterdell [TSS+95] | 142 × 78 × 29mm, 250 g, keyboard with 36 keys | reminder alarms | training given, ≥1 day practice of the tasks before start; telephone support | 61 nurses for 28 days; several self-rating scales; sleep diary; bihourly performance | compliance rate for end-of-day mood measures: 85%; for sleep diaries: 95% | no technical or acceptance pro- blems |
| Tsang [TMK+01] | size of a typical organizer with screen opening over the keyboard | support of two-way messaging; down- load of data, sending back analysis to pati- ents | training given; trial period of 2 weeks | 19 patients; 6 months; data about meal infor- mation | significant benefits for diabetes con- trol detected; patient's opinions: easy to use 95%, useful 63% | technical pro- blems during the early part of the study |
| van Gerven [VSI+96] | 140 × 178 × 26-38 mm, 522g, 4 keys only | data items are time- stamped; reminder alarms; no retrospec- tive entry | group training (2- 10 patients) for thorough study instructions and diary practice | 159 patients returned 1407 visual analog scale (VAS) scores and 452 headache relief ratings (HRR) | 1.5 % of total data were omitted or corrected using a paper form; even if patients desire it, it is unnecessary to backup electronic patient diaries with paper-and-pencil methods | 5 HRR sco- res (1.1%) and 3 VAS scores (0.2%) were lost |

Tabelle 2: Technical details and results of mobile computing technology used in clinical trials (ordered by first author)